## MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI, BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

## ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 40 OF 2017

**DISTRICT: - AURANGABAD** 

- 1. Sushilabai W/o Ganpatrao Kalaskar, Age: 45 years, Occu. Sweeper, R/o. H. No. 1-1-590, Tehra Nagar, Shivaji Nagar, Nanded, Tq. & Dist. Nanded.
- Gayabai W/o. Pundlik Khandare,
   Age: 47 years, Occu. Sweeper,
   R/o. H. No. 1-2-803, Ambedkar Nagar,
   Shivaji Nagar, Nanded,
   Tq. & Dist. Nanded.
- Shanta S/o Sopan Gaikwad,
   Age: 45 years, Occu. Sweeper,
   R/o. H. No. 1-1-6851, Ambedkar Nagar,
   Shivaji Nagar, Nanded,
   Tq. & Dist. Nanded.
- 4. Sumanbai W/o. Kashinath Narnaware, Age: 45 years, Occu. Sweeper, R/o. H. No. 1-1-1017, Ambedkar Nagar, Fire Station,, Nanded, Tq. & Dist. Nanded.
- 5. Bharatbai W/o Manohar Surya, Age: 50 years, Occu. Sweeper, R/o. Ambedkar Nagar, Shivaji Nagar, Waghi, Nanded Tq. & Dist. Nanded.
- Shakuntala W/o Ramesh Narwade,
   Age: 47 years, Occu. Sweeper,
   R/o. C-2, Police Colony, Sneha Nagar,
   Waghi, Nanded,

Tq. & Dist. Nanded.

- 7. Vacchalabai W/o Malhari Shelke, Age: Major, Occu. Sweeper, R/o. H. No. 1-1-694, Ambedkar Nagar, Near Triratna Nagar, Nanded. Tq. & Dist. Nanded.
- 8. Babasaheb S/o. Dagdu Bankar Age: 28 years, Occu. Service (Sweeper), R/o. Jaibhim Nagar, Town Hall, Tq. & Dist. Aurangabad.
- 9. Sunita W/o. Shripati Sonke,
  Age: 45 years, Occu. Sweeper,
  R/o. Chistiya Colony, CIDCO N-6,
  Aurangabad,
  Tq. & Dist. Aurangabad. ... APPLICANTS.

## VERSUS

- 01. The State of Maharashtra,
  Through its Secretary for Home
  Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai-32
  (Copy to be served on C.P.O.,
  M.A.T., Bench at Aurangabad).
- 02. The Director General of Police, Director General Office, Mumbai-39, Maharashtra.
- 03. The Commissioner of Police,
  The Police Commissionerate Office,
  Aurangabad,
  Tq. & Dist. Aurangabad.
- O4. The Inspector General of Police, Nanded Range, Nanded.Tq. & Dist. Nanded.

05. The Superintendent of Police,S.P. Office, Nanded,Tq. & Dist. Nanded... RESPONDENTS.

\_\_\_\_\_

APPEARANCE:- Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned

Advocate for the Applicants.

: Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting

Officer for the respondents.

-----

CORAM : HON'BLE SHRI B.P. PATIL,

MEMBER (J)

DATE:  $5^{TH}$  JULY, 2017.

## ORAL ORDER

- 1. Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
- 2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicants have approached this Tribunal for issuing directions to the respondents to grant benefits regarding wages in view of the Notifications dated 15.02.2003 & 28.09.2010 and the arrears of wages due and payable to them. It is their contention that they are

serving with the respondents as part time sweepers since many years, but the respondents have not paid wages to them in view of the above referred notifications. He has submitted that the applicants had made representations dated 29.11.2016 & 6.4.2016 (page Nos. 26 & 27 of the p.b. of O.A.) with the respondents, but the respondents have not decided it. Therefore, they approached this Tribunal. Learned Advocate for the applicants has submitted that if the directions are issued to the respondents to decide the representations filed by the applicant pending before the respondents, then the purpose of filing the present Original Application will be served.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that in view of the directions given by this Tribunal in other Original Applications, the respondents are paying wages to the applicants in view of the Notification dated since the year 2015 onwards. He has submitted that since representations dated 29.11.2016 & 6.4.2016 filed by the

O.A. NO. 40 OF 2017.

applicants are pending, he prayed to reject the present

5

Original Application.

4. On going through the documents on record, it reveals

that the representations dated 29.11.2016 & 6.4.2016

filed by the applicants with the respondents praying to pay

the arrears of wages, in view of the aforesaid Notifications

dated 15.02.2003 & 28.09.2010 are pending with the

respondents. Therefore, it is justice and proper to direct

the respondents to decide the representations at the

earliest. Hence, the respondents are hereby directed to

decide the representations filed by the applicants within a

period of 2 moths from the date of this order.

5. With the above observations and directions, the

present Original Application stands disposed of with no

order as to costs.

MEMBER (J)

O.A.NO.40-2017(SB)-HDD-2017